

WORONI

Meeting: Thursday 11th May 6:00pm		
Meeting Venue:	Office, Zoom	
Meeting Opened:	6:07	
Meeting Closed:	7:20	
Present:	Rose Dixon-Campbell, Alexander Lane, Lizzie Fewster, Matthew Box, Jasmin Small, Virginia Plas, Charlie Crawford, George Hogg	
Minutes:	Charlie Crawford	
Apologies:		
No.	Item	Action Items
1	Acknowledgement of Country	George
2	Previous action items	<p>Lizzie to build survey</p> <p>Fraud management group to action changes ready for today — see item 9</p> <p>Charlie to format the glossary and support services on Content warning policy (review here if you want)</p> <p>Rose make Kinn Thai booking for Friday/Saturday at 6pm</p> <p>TV Interview team to promote more, extend apps - board send any issues w questions by monday of this week</p> <p>Editors to upload handovers to Drive - Radio, Content, Managing, Deputy,</p>

Booking confirmed:
6-7:30pm on
Saturday June 17th
at Kinn Thai

Standing Items

3	Minutes Approvals	<p>Motion to approve the minutes from the board meeting of the 11th of May</p> <p>For: 8 Against: 0 Abstain: 0 Status: Pass</p>	
4	Approvals	<p>CAD</p> <p>Rose is not recused from Lizzie's article :)</p>	<p>Matthew, Virginia, Alex and Charlie half done</p>
5	Finance Update	<p>Business Transaction Account: \$27,928.02 Business Online Saver: \$297,048.93 Term Deposit: \$60,000.00</p> <p>Rose, Charlie and Matthew going to bank tomorrow to add Charlie and open new TD.</p> <p>Editors to spend meeting expenses budgets, you have until when this Board ends (17 June) Rose does not, nor will she ever, have a card.</p>	
6	Management Update	<p>Social media: Discussed with Bri on how to pace posts for Enviro, Scandal and Unreal - working on that with Holly over the next few days. Can put as many articles as she likes online.</p> <p>Lizzie pls share to schmidtposting when some posts come out</p> <p>Bri going very well - figuring out honoraria ranking. Recommend to Alex she remains hired.</p> <p>Compliment: Matthew - 600 copies of unreal, matthew gets to deal w that</p>	

		<p>Photography: IAC meeting with Ron from ANU, received Chris' final project but the other two are not as active. Ben photographed theatresports so hope to have that out tonight which will be good</p> <p>Compliment: Alex for promoting TV editor apps so well</p> <p>Events: Jeffrey came to meeting last night, asked about Bush week ideas and to start looking into - very happy with Jeffreys work (Arabellas as well but less so). Jumping castle slay - a couple of hoops to jump through</p> <p>Compliment: Rose and Charlie for Ron meeting, Lizzie for the CAD</p>	
7	Portfolio Updates	<p>Content: CAD with board. Prompts for scandal are due online this sunday. My review is online. No updates on party.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Narrowed down to 12-16th, see what they will say <p>Spoke to Luca today re Salt. Will reply to them tomorrow with what we've agreed (they're hounding me but oh well). The good people want to stay on.</p> <p>Compliment: Rose for Zine.</p> <p>Art: Busy w Environments, made a very hasty aesthetic for scandal. I changed how the aesthetic works for this mag so we shall see how that turns out. The page number issue has been fixed. Pls send me ur team lists and personal pics</p> <p>Compliment: TV Interview Panel</p> <p>News: Things are slowing down with exams and assessments. Will have a few coming through later this week,</p>	

leaving only 4 more pieces that will most likely be ready next week or Week 13. Planning on a team social at Badger next week because I don't want to be assigning articles out of the break.

One on NAD tonight

Compliment: Lizzie for CAD

Radio: IT IS FINISHED. WE ARE DONE (at 1pm tomorrow).

Maya has sadly resigned so I will be hunting for a new EP over the break. Would like to come back next year. Also working on a restructure for Radio as it's not working in an effective way at the moment. Help people meet their MOU, more practical

Very proud of my team for all their work semester and, with the exception of Maya, all will be returning, which I'm glad to hear.

Matthew: why end week 11

George: most people aren't available (shows and producer wise), consistent practice from last few years

Compliment: Liz and her team for busting out the CAD

TV: professional development next thursday 3:30pm to 4:30pm in office. No need to use a budget line. You're welcome to come if you want

We interviewed Brian Schmidt (great work from Ben and Sarah!) and also have had two teams film vox pops this week. Short film has done some very

		<p>cool editing to make a house look as if it's on fire.</p> <p>I think each team is only going to get one more video out this sem except sharlotte's - would have liked to get more done this semester but we got caught up in red tape.</p> <p>We'll be having a movie/pizza/chips night in week 14 to finish up the semester and use what remains of our snack budget - friday 9th.</p> <p>Compliment: Charlie and Alex for TV panel stuff</p>	
Meeting Items			
8	Fraud Management Policy	<p>Changes are highlighted in yellow:</p> <p>4. 7. c. must changed to may, added a discretionary catch</p> <p>4. 9. Changed includes to can include</p> <p>4. 10. B. i. Added that suspected members cannot vote</p> <p>4. 10. B. i. 1. Votes to suspend each member must be separate motions</p> <p>4. 10. B. i. 2. Added that in multiple suspected unanimous vote must be absolute majority</p> <p>4. 10. B. i. 3. Added clause that in case of multiple execs, vote to suspend may be move to email vote to meet quorum</p> <p>4. 10. B. i. 4. Changed should to must</p> <p>Motion: "To accept the changes to the Fraud Management Policy"</p> <p>For: 8 Against: 0 Abstentions: 0 Status: Passed</p>	

9	Amendments, Corrections and Retractions Policy	<p>Main changes: Added in official email address Decided that correction requests in person and social media do not count. Editors can make minor amendments. Added grammar and spelling to minor amendments. Allows for corrections where there is consensus and the relevant Editor concurs. Added the question of wrongdoing into the Corrections Investigation Board to vote on disputes around determining if a correction is needed. Retractions in the magazine shall be listed on the website Where practical the TV Ed should edit videos to correct them Broadened where the correction text goes for videos</p> <p>Motion to accept the changes to the 'Amendments, Corrections and Retractions Policy.'</p> <p>For: 8 Against: 0 Abstentions: 0 Status: Pass</p>	<p>Change 5. f) Wrongdoing OR Negligence (wrongdoing does not otherwise imply negligence) 3 2. a) grammar 4 2. b) i) grammar 4. 4. a) grammar Remove last page (blank)</p> <p>Roman numerals out of practice</p> <p>CHARLIE TO ACTION THE ABOVE ^^^^</p>
10	Ethical Reporting on Sexual Violence Policy	<p>Changes marked in track changes.</p> <p>Motion: to accept the changes to the 'Ethical reporting on sexual violence' policy For: 8 Against: 0 Abstentions: 0 Status: Pass</p>	<p>Fix Woroni heading Charlie to reformat</p>
11	Meeting with Ron Foggarty (Head of Residential Experience) Re IAC	<p>Charlie and I met with Ron on Friday to discuss the complaint I made against Oskah.</p> <p>He agreed that regardless of IAC's position on our negotiations or</p>	

whatever that it was very unprofessional for Oskah to ghost us. Ron told Oskah that in future any media enquiries are to be handled by his comms officer and not him.

Since then his comms officer has taken a hiatus and the correspondence we have received from IAC re this was that Oskah and the VP would take over her roles. So I am going to try and meet with the VP and I will also let Ron know in case IAC hasn't (I'm 99% sure they wouldn't have)

I raised my concerns about the precedent that Oskah created by giving Observer access to IAC events when they are 'coincidentally' also sponsoring them. I don't think he saw that as such a strong issue but I think could appreciate the point around unfair treatment between us.

I also raised my concerns about the IP policy that IAC is trying to enforce and Ron completely agreed with us. Apparently upon receiving our email Oskah consulted functions on campus about it and was advised that we were wrong and being pass-ag. Firstly I don't think FoC can give legal advice and secondly I showed Ron the email and he agreed that Oskah either misinterpreted it or intentionally misconstrued it. In any case he was shocked to hear that that was what they were enforcing and offered support if that became a further issue.

I also raised my complaint with regards to how Oskah has treated me. Throughout our relationship this semester (i.e. since he became president) I have consistently felt patronised by him in a way that I

considered to be misogynistic. Ron said that the substance and details of my complaint are not inconsistent with others he has received against Oskah. In this context (and I think in the context of the rest of the meeting) he let us know that he had essentially given Oskah a disciplinary warning. He has not been formally charged with misconduct yet, but if he were he would likely be expelled from Griffin and thus ineligible to be IAC president. I do believe that he is an irresponsible president who does not deserve his position nor is he fit to fill it.

Ron has said that if we have any further problems with Oskah to get in touch with him immediately and I do believe he genuinely wants to see the best outcomes for both of our organisations realised.

Something else which happened in the meeting was slightly less positive. Essentially a sub-editor of ours emailed the ANU asking for permission to do something in an ANU space as part of a Woroni project specifically focusing on the ANU and that space. This person gave them very little context as to what and why and also sent the email at 11:10am saying they planned to do the thing at 12pm that same day. This understandably sent the ANU into a bit of a tail spin and Ron was reasonably frustrated by the lack of courtesy shown by our sub-editor. I agreed that that was very unprofessional on our end.

When you or anyone on your team is emailing the ANU you must give them several business days to respond. You must also give them as much detail as

		<p>possible. For pretty much everyone except news (which has its own system) I would say there's no reason that emails to the ANU should be coming from sub-editors as opposed to seniors or even better, yourselves. Ron is keen to have a positive and productive working relationship with us but incidents like this don't help us at all.</p> <p>So please speak to your teams and tell them that any future emails to the ANU are to come from a senior or yourself (preferred). Please make sure that between yourselves and your seniors you give the ANU enough notice and context to effectively action your request.</p>	
12	Board Handover	<p>Most people have not added any new things to the Board handover, would they like to? If so let's do it soon? Say by end of Week 12?</p> <p>Rose: Board handover day - figure out when, and make it done a couple days before that</p> <p>Availability?</p>	Workout when board handover

